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Some commentators have remarked on the strange coincidence in time between the death of Abu Musab al-Zarqawi and the agreement by the new Iraqi government to appoint Jawad Bolani and Abdel Kader Jassim al-Mifarji as ministers of interior and defence respectively. The relations between the Americans and their Iraqi opponents surely are complex.

* * *

Peter Dale Scott, a former Canadian diplomat and English Professor at the University of California, Berkeley, is a poet, writer, and researcher. His forthcoming book is entitled The Road to 9/11: Wealth, Empire, and the Future of America, from which this excerpt is taken.

The then leader of the Egyptian Muslim Brotherhood, Sayed Kuttub, a man Faisal sponsored to undermine Nasser, openly admitted that during this period [the 1960s] 'America made Islam.'

'The government … were not prepared to set up their own organisation. They preferred to use the oil companies, at a discreet distance, as the instruments of national security and foreign policy.'

What is slowly emerging from Al Qaeda activities in Central Asia in the 1990s is the extent to which they have acted in the interests of both American oil companies and the US government. In one way or another, Americans in the 1990s cooperated with al Qaeda terrorists in Afghanistan, Azerbaijan and Kosovo. In other countries, notably Uzbekistan, Kyrgyzstan, and Georgia, al Qaeda terrorists have provided pretexts or opportunities for a US military commitment and even troops to follow.

This has been most obvious in the years since the end of the Afghan War in 1989. Deprived of Soviet troops to support it, the Soviet-backed Najibullah regime in Kabul finally fell in April 1992. What should have been a glorious victory for the mujahedin proved instead to be a time of troubles for them, as Tajiks behind Massoud and Pashtuns behind Hekmatyar began instead to fight each other.

The situation was particularly difficult for the Arab Afghans, who now found themselves no longer welcome. Under pressure from America, Egypt, and Saudi Arabia, the new interim president of Afghanistan, Sibghatullah Mojaddedi, announced that the Arab Afghans should leave. In January 1993 Pakistan followed suit, closed the offices of all mujahedin in its country, and ordered the deportation of all Arab Afghans. Shortly afterwards Pakistan extradited a number of Egyptian jihadis to Egypt, some of whom had already been tried and convicted in absentia. Other radical Islamists went to Afghanistan, but without the foreign support they had enjoyed before.
Fleeing the hostilities in Afghanistan, some Uzbek and Tajik mujahedin and refugees started fleeing or returning north across the Amu Darya. In this confusion, cross-border raids of the kind originally encouraged by CIA Director Casey back in the mid-1980s, continued, with or without US backing. Both Hekmatyar and Massoud actively supported the Tajik rebels, including in the years up to 1992 when both continued to receive aid and assistance from the United States. The Pakistani observer Ahmed Rashid documents further support for the Tajik rebels from both Saudi Arabia and the Pakistani intelligence directorate ISI.

These raids into Tajikistan and later Uzbekistan contributed materially to the destabilisation of the Muslim Republics in the Soviet Union (and after 1992 of its successor, the Commonwealth of Independent States). This destabilisation was an explicit goal of US policy in the Reagan era, and did not change with the end of the Afghan War. On the contrary, the United States was concerned to hasten the break-up of the Soviet Union, and increasingly to gain access to the petroleum reserves of the Caspian Basin, which at that time were still estimated to be "the largest known reserves of unexploited fuel in the planet."

The collapse of the Soviet Union had a disastrous impact on the economies of its Islamic Republics. Already in 1991 the leaders of Central Asia ‘began to hold talks with Western oil companies, on the back of ongoing negotiations between Kazakhstan and the US company Chevron.’ The first Bush Administration actively supported the plans of US oil companies to contract for exploiting the resources of the Caspian region, and also for a pipeline not controlled by Moscow that could bring the oil and gas production out to the west. The same goals were enunciated even more clearly as matters of national security by Clinton and his administration.

Eventually the threat presented by Islamist rebels persuaded the governments of Uzbekistan, Tajikistan, and Kyrgyzstan to allow US as well as Russian bases on their soil. The result was to preserve artificially a situation throughout the region where small elites grow increasingly wealthy and corrupt, while most citizens suffer from a sharp drop in living standards.

The gap between the Bush Administration’s professed ideals and its real objectives is well illustrated by its position towards the regime of Islam Karimov in Uzbekistan. America quickly sent Donald Rumsfeld to deal with the new regime in Kyrgyzstan installed in March 2005 after the popular ‘Tulip Revolution’ and overthrow there of Askar Akayev. But Karimov’s violent repression of a similar uprising in Uzbekistan at this time did not diminish US support for the dictator, as long as he allowed US troops to be based in his oil- and gas-rich country.

**US operatives, oil companies and al Qaeda in Azerbaijan**

In one former Soviet Republic, Azerbaijan, Arab Afghan jihadis clearly assisted the effort of US oil companies to penetrate the region. In 1991, Richard Secord, Heinie Aderholt, and Ed Dearborn, three veterans of US operations in Laos, and
later of Oliver North’s operations with the Contras, turned up in Baku under the
cover of an American company, MEGA Oil.16 This was at a time when the first
Bush administration had expressed its support for an oil pipeline stretching from
Azerbaijan across the Caucasus to Turkey.17 MEGA never found oil, but did
contribute materially to the removal of Azerbaijan from the sphere of post-Soviet
Russian influence.

Secord, Aderholt, and Dearborn were all career United States Air Force
officers, not CIA. However Secord explains in his memoir how Aderholt and he
were occasionally seconded to the CIA as CIA detailees. Secord describes his own
service as a CIA detailee with Air America in, first, Vietnam, and then Laos, in
cooperation with the CIA Station Chief Theodore Shackley.18 Secord later worked
with Oliver North to supply arms and materiel to the Contras in Honduras, and
also developed a small air force for them, using many former Air America pilots.19
Because of this experience in air operations, CIA Director Casey and Oliver North
had selected Secord to trouble-shoot the deliveries of weapons to Iran in the Iran-
Contra operation.20 (Aderholt and Dearborn also served in the Laotian CIA
operation, and later in supporting the Contras.)

As MEGA operatives in Azerbaijan, Secord, Aderholt, Dearborn, and their men
engaged in military training, passed ‘brown bags filled with cash’ to members of
the government, and above all set up an airline on the model of Air America,
which soon was picking up hundreds of mujahedin mercenaries in Afghanistan.21
(Secord and Aderholt claim to have left Azerbaijan before the mujahedin arrived.)
Meanwhile, Hekmatyar, who at the time was still allied with bin Laden, was
‘observed recruiting Afghan mercenaries [i.e. Arab Afghans] to fight in Azerbaijan
against Armenia and its Russian allies.’22 At this time, heroin flooded from
Afghanistan through Baku into Chechnya, Russia, and even North America.23 It is
difficult to believe that MEGA’s airline (so much like Air America) did not
become involved.24

The operation was not a small one.

‘Over the course of the next two years, [MEGA Oil] procured thousands of dollars worth
of weapons and recruited at least two thousand Afghan mercenaries for Azerbaijan - the
first mujahedin to fight on the territory of the former Communist Bloc.’25

In 1993 the mujahedin also contributed to the ouster of Azerbaijan’s elected
president, Abulfaz Elchibey, and his replacement by an ex-Communist Brezhnev-
era leader, Heidar Aliyev.

At stake was an $8 billion oil contract with a consortium of western oil
companies headed by BP. Part of the contract would be a pipeline that would, for
the first time, not pass through Russian-controlled territory when exporting oil
from the Caspian basin to Turkey. Thus the contract was bitterly opposed by
Russia, and required an Azeri leader willing to stand up to the former Soviet
Union.

The Arab Afghans helped supply that muscle. Their own eyes were set on
fighting Russia in the disputed Armenian-Azeri region of Nagorno-Karabakh, and
in liberating neighbouring Muslim areas of Russia: Chechnya and Dagestan. To this end, as the 9/11 Report notes (58), the bin Laden organisation established an NGO in Baku, which became a base for terrorism elsewhere. It also became a transshipment point for Afghan heroin to the Chechen mafia, whose branches ‘extended not only to the London arms market, but also throughout continental Europe and North America.'

The Arab Afghans’ Azeri operations were financed in part with Afghan heroin. According to police sources in the Russian capital, 184 heroin processing labs were discovered in Moscow alone last year. ‘Every one of them was run by Azeris, who use the proceeds to buy arms for Azerbaijan’s war against Armenia in Nagorno-Karabakh,’ [Russian economist Alexandre] Datskevitch said.

This foreign Islamist presence in Baku was also supported by bin Laden’s financial network. With bin Laden’s guidance and Saudi support, Baku soon became a base for jihadi operations against Dagestan and Chechnya in Russia. And an informed article argued in 1999 that Pakistan’s ISI, facing its own disposal problem with the militant Arab-Afghan veterans, trained and armed them in Afghanistan to fight in Chechnya. ISI also encouraged the flow of Afghan drugs westward to support the Chechen militants, thus diminishing the flow into Pakistan itself.

As Michael Griffin has observed, the regional conflicts in Nagorno-Karabakh and other disputed areas, Abkhazia, Turkish Kurdistan and Chechnya each represented a distinct, tactical move, crucial at the time, in discerning which power would ultimately become master of the pipelines which, some time in this century, will transport the oil and gas from the Caspian basin to an energy-avid world.

Two Arab oil companies, Delta Oil and Nimir Oil, participated in the western oil consortium, along with the American firm Unocal.

It is unclear whether MEGA Oil was a front for the US Government or for US oil companies and their Saudi allies. US oil companies have been accused of spending millions of dollars in Azerbaijan, not just to bribe the government but also to install it. According to a Turkish intelligence source who was an alleged eyewitness, major oil companies, including Exxon and Mobil, were ‘behind the coup d’état’ which in 1993 replaced the elected President, Abulfaz Elchibey, with his successor, Heydar Aliyev. The source claimed to have been at meetings in Baku with ‘senior members of BP, Exxon, Amoco, Mobil and the Turkish Petroleum Company. The topic was always oil rights and, on the insistence of the Azeris, supply and arms to Azerbaijan.’ Turkish secret service documents allege middlemen paid off key officials of the democratically elected government of the oil-rich nation just before its president was overthrown.

The true facts and backers of the Aliyev coup may never be fully disclosed. But before the coup, the efforts of Richard Secord, Heinie Aderholt, Ed Dearborn and Hekmatyar’s mujahedin helped contest Russian influence and prepare for Baku’s shift away to the West. Three years later, in August 1996, Amoco’s president met
with Clinton and arranged for Aliyev to be invited to Washington. In 1997 Clinton said that

‘In a world of growing energy demand … our nation cannot afford to rely on a single region for our energy supplies. By working closely with Azerbaijan to tap the Caspian’s resources, we not only help Azerbaijan to prosper, we also help diversify our energy supply and strengthen our energy’s security.’

But the interest in Azerbaijan was bipartisan. James Baker, George H.W. Bush’s Secretary of State, was and is a member of the US-Azerbaijan Chamber of Commerce. So was Dick Cheney. During the 1990s the council’s co-chairman was Richard Armitage, later one of the so-called Vulcans or neo-cons in George W. Bush’s State Department, who in this period visited Aliyev in Azerbaijan on behalf of Texaco.

Unocal, the Taliban, and bin Laden in Afghanistan

The accusations against Amoco, Exxon, and Mobil in Azerbaijan parallel those from European sources against Unocal in Afghanistan, which has been accused of helping, along with Delta Oil, to finance the Taliban’s seizure of Kabul in 1996. (This was at a time when the Taliban was also receiving funds from Saudi Arabia and Osama bin Laden.)

The respected French observer Olivier Roy has charged that ‘When the Taleban took power in Afghanistan (1996), it was largely orchestrated by the Pakistani secret service [ISI] and the oil company Unocal, with its Saudi ally Delta.’ Unocal executive John Maresca then testified in 1998 to the House Committee on International Relations on the benefits of a proposed oil pipeline through Afghanistan to the coast of Pakistan. A second natural gas pipeline (Centgas) was also contemplated by Unocal.

For Unocal to advance its own funds for the Taliban conquest would have been in violation of US law, which is why such companies customarily resort to middlemen. No such legal restraints would have inhibited Unocal’s Saudi partner in its Centgas consortium, Delta Oil; but Delta Oil asserts emphatically that it took no part in orchestrating or financing the Taliban’s assumption of power in Afghanistan.

(Delta was already an investor with Unocal in the oilfields of Azerbaijan, and may have been a factor in the October 1995 decision of Turkmenistan’s president to sign, in New York, a new pipeline contract with Unocal/Delta.)

As I wrote a decade ago, citing the case of a US oil company in Tunisia, ‘it is normal, not unusual, for the entry of major US firms into Third World countries to be facilitated and sustained, indeed made possible, by corruption.’ This has long been the case, but in the Reagan 1980s it was escalated by a new generation of aggressively risk-taking, law-bending, ‘cowboy’ entrepreneurs. The pace was set by new corporations like Enron, a high-debt merger that was in part guided by the junk-bond impresario Michael Milken.

Some have speculated that Enron also had a potential interest in the Unocal gas
The US Establishment and Al Qaeda pipeline project through Afghanistan. By 1997 Enron was negotiating a $2 billion joint venture with Neftegas of Uzbekistan, to develop Uzbekistan’s natural gas. This was a huge project backed by a $400 million commitment from the US Government through the Overseas Private Investment Corporation (OPIC). Uzbekistan also signed a Memo of Agreement to participate in the Centgas gas pipeline. But the Enron Uzbek negotiations collapsed in 1998.43

Enron’s short-term plans had been to export Uzbek gas west to Kazakhstan, Turkey, and Europe. However, it has been claimed that Enron hoped eventually to supply, via the Centgas pipeline, its failing energy plant in Dabhol, India. (Without a cheap gas supply, the cost of electricity from Dabhol was so great that Indians refused to buy it.)44

In the first half of 2001 the Bush Administration attempted to revive negotiations with the Taliban for the pipeline, as a quid pro quo for agreeing to a national unity government with Massoud’s Northern Alliance, and extraditing Osama bin Laden.45 As Chalmers Johnson has commented, ‘Support for this enterprise [the dual oil and gas pipelines] appears to have been a major consideration in the Bush administration’s decision to attack Afghanistan on October 7, 2001.’46

In my book Drugs, Oil, and War, I quote again from Olivier Roy: ‘It is the Americans who have made inroads in Central Asia, primarily because of the oil and gas interests. Chevron and Unocal are political actors who talk as equals with the States (that is, with the presidents).’47

It is clear they talk as equals in the current Bush Administration. Both the President and Vice-President are former oilmen, as were some of their oldest friends and political backers, like Kenneth Lay of Enron.48

Al Qaeda, the KLA in Kosovo, and the Trans-Balkan Pipeline

The US, Al Qaeda and oil company interests converged again in Kosovo. Though the origins of the Kosovo tragedy were rooted in local enmities, oil became a prominent aspect of the outcome. There the al Qaeda-backed UCK or ‘Kosovo Liberation Army’ (KLA) was directly supported and politically empowered by Nato, beginning in 1998.49 But according to a source of Tim Judah, KLA representatives had already met with American, British, and Swiss intelligence agencies in 1996, and possibly ‘several years earlier.’50 This would presumably have been back when Arab Afghan members of the KLA, like Abdul-Wahid al-Qahtani, were fighting in Bosnia.51

Mainstream accounts of the Kosovo War are silent about the role of al Qaeda in training and financing the UCK/KLA, yet this fact has been recognised by experts and to my knowledge never contested by them.52 For example, James Bissett, former Canadian ambassador to Yugoslavia, said ‘Many members of the Kosovo Liberation Army were sent for training in terrorist camps in Afghanistan … Milosevic is right. There is no question of their [al Qaeda’s] participation in conflicts in the Balkans. It is very well documented.’53 In March 2002, Michael Steiner, the United Nations administrator in Kosovo, warned of ‘importing the
Afghan danger to Europe’ because several cells trained and financed by al Qaeda remained in the region.  

As late as 1997, the UCK/KLA had been recognised by the United States as a terrorist group supported in part by the heroin traffic. The Washington Times reported in 1999 that

‘The Kosovo Liberation Army, which the Clinton administration has embraced and some members of Congress want to arm as part of the Nato bombing campaign, is a terrorist organisation that has financed much of its war effort with profits from the sale of heroin.’

Alfred McCoy supplies a detailed and footnoted corroboration:

Albanian exiles used drug profits to ship Czech and Swiss arms back to Kosovo for the separatist guerrillas of the Kosovo Liberation Army (KLA). In 1997-98, these Kosovar drug syndicates armed the KLA for a revolt against Belgrade’s army … Even after the 1999 Kumanovo agreement settled the Kosovo conflict, the UN administration of the province … allowed a thriving heroin traffic along this northern route from Turkey. The former commanders of the KLA, both local clans and aspiring national leaders, continued to dominate the transit traffic through the Balkans.

Yet once again, as in Azerbaijan, these drug-financed Islamist jihadis received American assistance, this time from the US Government. At the time critics charged that US oil interests were interested in building a trans-Balkan pipeline with US Army protection; although initially ridiculed, these critics were eventually proven correct. BBC News announced in December 2004 that a $1.2 billion pipeline, south of a huge new US army base in Kosovo, has been given a go-ahead by the governments of Albania, Bulgaria, and Macedonia. Much of the financing came from the US government’s Overseas Private Investment Corporation and private American firms, as originally proposed in 1996, when the corridor involved had been laid out as part of the Clinton administration’s South Balkan Development Initiative.

The closeness of the UCK/KLA to al Qaeda was acknowledged again in the western press, after Afghan-connected KLA guerrillas proceeded in 2001 to conduct guerrilla warfare in Macedonia. Press accounts included an Interpol report containing the allegation that one of bin Laden’s senior lieutenants was the commander of an élite UCK/KLA unit operating in Kosovo in 1999. This was probably Mohammed al-Zawahiri. The American right wing, which opposed Clinton’s actions in Kosovo, has transmitted reports ‘that the KLA’s head of élite forces, Muhammed al-Zawahiri, was the brother of Ayman al-Zawahiri, the military commander for bin Laden’s Al Qaeda.’ Meanwhile Marcia Kurop in the Wall Street Journal has written that ‘The Egyptian surgeon turned terrorist leader Ayman Al-Zawahiri has operated terrorist training camps, weapons of mass destruction factories and money-laundering and drug-trading networks throughout Albania, Kosovo, Macedonia, Bulgaria, Turkey and Bosnia.’

According to Yossef Bodansky, director of the US Congressional Task Force on Terrorism and Unconventional Warfare,
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‘Bin Laden’s Arab “Afghans” also have assumed a dominant role in training the Kosovo Liberation Army … [By mid-March 1999 the UCK included] many elements controlled and/or sponsored by the US, German, British, and Croatian intelligence services.’

Meanwhile by 2000, according to Drug Enforcement Administration statistics, Afghan heroin accounted for almost 20 per cent of the heroin seized in the United States – nearly double the percentage taken four years earlier. Much of it is now distributed by Kosovar Albanians.

Al Qaeda and the petroleum-military complex

It is important to understand that the conspicuous influence of petroleum money in the administration of two Bush presidents was also prominent under Clinton. A former CIA officer complained about the oil lobby’s influence with Sheila Heslin of Clinton’s National Security Council staff:

‘Heslin’s sole job, it seemed, was to carry water for an exclusive club known as the Foreign Oil Companies Group, a cover for a cartel of major petroleum companies doing business in the Caspian … Another thing I learned was that Heslin wasn’t soloing. Her boss, Deputy National Security Adviser Sandy Berger, headed the inter-agency committee on Caspian oil policy, which made him in effect the government’s ambassador to the cartel, and Berger wasn’t a disinterested player. He held $90,000 worth of stock in Amoco, probably the most influential member of the cartel … The deeper I got, the more Caspian oil money I found sloshing around Washington.’

The oil companies’ meeting with Sheila Heslin in the summer of 1995 was followed shortly by the creation of an interagency governmental committee to formulate US policy towards the Caspian.

The Clinton Administration listened to the oil companies, and in 1998 began committing US troops to joint training exercises in Uzbekistan. This made neighbouring countries like Kazakhstan and Turkmenistan, wary of Russia, more eager to grant exploration and pipeline rights to American companies.

But Clinton did not yield to Unocal’s strenuous lobbying in 1996 for US recognition of the Taliban, as a condition for building the pipeline from Turkmenistan. Clinton declined in the end to do so, responding instead to the strongly voiced political opposition, especially from women’s groups over the Taliban’s treatment of women.

The three-way symbiosis of al Qaeda, oil companies, and the Pentagon is still visible in the case of Azerbaijan, for example. Now the Pentagon is protecting the Aliyev regime (where a younger Aliyev, in a dubious election, succeeded his father).

‘The Department of Defence at first proposed that Azerbaijan also receive an IMET [International Military Education and Training] grant of $750,000 and an FMF [Foreign Military Financing] grant of $3 million in 2003 as part of the war on terrorism but later admitted that the funds were actually intended to protect US access to oil in and around the Caspian Sea.’
We have seen that, thanks to al Qaeda, US bases have sprung up close to oilfields and pipelines in Uzbekistan, Tajikistan, Georgia, and Kosovo. And as Michael Klare has noted,

‘Already [US] troops from the Southern Command (Southcom) are helping to defend Colombia’s Caño Limon pipeline … Likewise, soldiers from the European Command (Eurcom) are training local forces to protect the newly constructed Baku-Tbilisi-Ceyhan pipeline in Georgia … Finally, the ships and planes of the US Pacific Command (Pacom) are patrolling vital tanker routes in the Indian Ocean, South China Sea, and the western Pacific … Slowly but surely, the US military is being converted into a global oil-protection service.’

A survey of US history since World War Two suggests that the United States power state has consistently used the resources of drug-trafficking terrorists, and more recently those of al Qaeda, to further its own ends, particularly with respect to oil, at the expense of the public order and well-being of the American public state.
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‘Those of us who warned that the West was being sucked in on the side of an extremist, militant, Kosovo-Albanian independence movement were dismissed as appeasers. The fact that the lead organisation spearheading the fight for independence, the Kosovo Liberation Army (KLA), was universally designated a terrorist organisation and known to be receiving support from Osama bin Laden’s al Qaeda was conveniently ignored … The Kosovar Albanians played us like a Stradivarius violin. We have subsidised and indirectly supported their violent campaign for an ethnically pure Kosovo. We have never blamed them for being the perpetrators of the violence in the early 1990s, and we continue to portray them as the designated victim today, in spite of evidence to the contrary. When they achieve independence with the help of our tax dollars combined with those of bin Laden and al Qaeda, just consider the message of encouragement this sends to other terrorist-supported independence movements around the world.’ Cf. John Pilger, *New Statesman*, 13/12/04.

*BBC News*, 28/12/04. Those who charged that such a pipeline was projected were initially mocked but gradually vindicated (*Guardian*, 15/1/01; Scott, *Drugs, Oil, and War*, 34). See also Marjorie Cohn, ‘Nato Bombing of Kosovo: Humanitarian Intervention or Crime against Humanity?’ *International Journal for the Semiotics of Law*, March 2002, 79-106.
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